

Britain First

The Voice of British Nationalism



PRICE: 5p Australia/New Zealand: 10c Canada: 15c R.S.A.: 10c

ISSUE No. 27

PARLIAMENT OR THE PEOPLE?

**WILSON FRONTS FOR
FINANCIERS IN
SOVIET AID DEAL**

**WHY ESTABLISHMENT
FEARS REFERENDUM**

FOR DECADES Labour, Tory and Liberal politicians have certainly been consistent in one thing: their total lack of basic dedication to the interests of the British Nation. They have thus rightly earned the deepest distrust of the electorate. For this reason a surprisingly large number of people already feel that the Establishment politicians will try to 'fix' any Common Market referendum so as to make it ineffective and thus keep Britain in Europe.

WILSON FRONTS FOR FINANCIERS IN SOVIET AID DEAL



Wilson hobnobs with the new aristocracy of the Soviet Union

WHILE Britain plummets into an economic depression, Harold Wilson has fronted for yet another deal to aid the building of the Soviet menace at our expense.

Behind the convivial scene of Wilson and Callaghan clinking champagne glasses with Brezhnev, Kosygin and Andropov (head of the murderous KGB), a plan was worked out whereby a £1,000 million trade credit is to be extended to the Soviet Union over a 5 year period.

The Soviets will only pay 7½% interest, the difference between that and the normal commercial rate of 14% being made up to the banks by the British taxpayer. The credit, which will be extended as contracts are signed to buy British goods, is supposed to provide employment for British workers.

On the surface the package is supposed to keep everyone happy. The Soviets get the goods. The banks get their mammoth profits. We find jobs.

In real terms, however, it is just another example of the lunatic System under which we live. Given the 20% rate of inflation in British currency the Soviets are in fact

national Ltd. and Lazard Brothers & Co. Ltd. have been engaged in talks with the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Trade about financing timber processing plant in Western Siberia. The Chairman of Barclays International (formerly Barclays DCO) is Sir Frederick Seebom, who was a council member of the Institute of Race Relations until 1972. The Sieff family's Marks and Spencer meanwhile has been asked to act as consultant for the purchase of British consumer goods.

It may be noted that some of the very firms and financial institutions which have been blacklisted by Arab governments because of their connection with and support for Israel, are also engaged in aiding the Soviet Union — the Arabs' supposed 'friend'.

NEW PROTOCOL

As well as economic aid to the Soviet Union, there will also be closer political ties.

WHY ESTABLISHMENT FEARS REFERENDUM

FOR DECADES Labour, Tory and Liberal politicians have certainly been consistent in one thing: their total lack of basic dedication to the interests of the British Nation. They have thus rightly earned the deepest distrust of the electorate. For this reason a surprisingly large number of people already feel that the Establishment politicians will try to 'fix' any Common Market referendum so as to make it ineffective and thus keep Britain in Europe.

Labour promised a referendum in its 1974 Election Manifesto in order to syphon off the anti-Common Market vote, but Wilson — like most other Parliamentary politicians — was originally against it. The reason why these politicians are against it is because they fear it.

They fear it because through the referendum the British people could discover a new and direct way of controlling their nation's destiny and determining their own future. Once a Common Market referendum is held then there is no logical reason why we shouldn't have referenda on other issues of major importance and public concern such as immigration.

It is common knowledge that the electorate are sick and tired of the old Labour, Tory and Liberal clique who make promises at election time but do just as they please once elected. They fear it because if the British people discover that referenda are a better means of enforcing the nation's will than the 'old pals act' at Westminster, then it will not be long before the British people demand this direct access to decision making as a regular part of government.

They fear it because in the referendum campaign the British Nationalist programme of the National Front would be seen by the electorate to be not only the most coherent opposition to Europe but attractive in itself for voting in future elections.

They fear it because it may give the cause of British Nationalism as expounded by the National Front another opportunity to break through the undemocratic bias of the mass media. For this reason they will try to exclude the National Front from reports in the Press and from T.V., and to generally frustrate the British people from arriving at a

truly democratic decision which can only be reached if the Nationalist view is given a fair hearing.

They fear it because the idea might take root that the INITIATIVE in calling future referenda be with the electorate, as it is in Switzerland, and not merely be a device just to be used by a self-interested Parliament.

If we can force a broadening of the whole approach to the Common Market referendum, it will not only be an important step in freeing Britain from Europe but a means of ensuring that we do not get sold out again, over this or any other issue. If we are successful, we will be well on the way to liberating this country from the Labour, Tory and Liberal politicians, who have made Britain into a weak pawn of the multi-national corporations and International Finance, and who have been prepared again and again to sell out British interests at home and abroad.

THIS REFERENDUM AND SUBSEQUENT ONES CAN GIVE TO THE BRITISH PEOPLE THE POWER WHICH THEY HAVE ALWAYS NEEDED BUT NEVER POSSESSED: THE RIGHT TO DEMAND THAT THEIR GOVERNMENT PUTS BRITISH INTERESTS FIRST AND THE BRITISH PEOPLE FIRST IN THEIR OWN COUNTRY!

NORWAY BOOMS

WHILE Britain and the other countries enslaved to the European Common Market suffer recession, free Norway is booming.

In Germany, for example, sales in the

title of the RIIA-CFR set-up which was created by rich bankers at the turn of the century was none other than the 'Round Table Groups'.

As British Nationalists we object both to



Wilson hobnobs with the new aristocracy of the Soviet Union

WHILE Britain plummets into an economic depression, Harold Wilson has fronted for yet another deal to aid the building of the Soviet menace at our expense.

Behind the convivial scene of Wilson and Callaghan clinking champagne glasses with Brezhnev, Kosygin and Andropov (head of the murderous KGB), a plan was worked out whereby a £1,000 million trade credit is to be extended to the Soviet Union over a 5 year period.

The Soviets will only pay 7½% interest, the difference between that and the normal commercial rate of 14% being made up to the banks by the British taxpayer. The credit, which will be extended as contracts are signed to buy British goods, is supposed to provide employment for British workers.

On the surface the package is supposed to keep everyone happy. The Soviets get the goods. The banks get their mammoth profits. We find jobs.

In real terms, however, it is just another example of the lunatic System under which we live. Given the 20% rate of inflation in British currency, the Soviets are in fact enjoying a negative real rate of interest, as they are paying us less than our own currency depreciates! They will also pay the debt in real value far below what it is worth now. In effect we are paying the banks to create credit which allows the Soviets to take our goods for virtually nothing while we are told to 'tighten our belts'.

Any employment thus created has been created for the sole purpose of giving away goods to hostile foreigners. We might just as well extend credits to Mars and dump all our goods there! It would, after all, be less dangerous!

The British based commercial interests currently involved in the Soviet Union make interesting reading. Barclays Bank Inter-

national Ltd. and Lazard Brothers & Co. Ltd. have been engaged in talks with the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Trade about financing timber processing plant in Western Siberia. The Chairman of Barclays International (formerly Barclays DCO) is Sir Frederick Seebom, who was a council member of the Institute of Race Relations until 1972. The Sieff family's Marks and Spencer meanwhile has been asked to act as consultant for the purchase of British consumer goods.

It may be noted that some of the very firms and financial institutions which have been blacklisted by Arab governments because of their connection with and support for Israel, are also engaged in aiding the Soviet Union — the Arabs' supposed 'friend'.

NEW PROTOCOL

As well as economic aid to the Soviet Union, there will also be closer political ties. A protocol on political consultations has provided for the creation of an Anglo-Soviet "round table" to foster discussions between academics and other 'specialists'. The first meeting will be organised by the notorious Royal Institute of International Affairs which is otherwise known as Chatham House, and acts as the sister institute to the 'American' Council on Foreign Relations.

Though bankrolled by International Financial agencies like the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations, the RIIA-CFR complex is by no means hostile to the Communist world. Rather it favours East and West coming together to form a World Government though under its own control, of course. It is interesting to see that the original

They fear it because through the referendum the British people could discover a new and direct way of controlling their nation's destiny and determining their own future. Once a Common Market referendum is held then there is no logical reason why we shouldn't have referenda on other issues of major importance and public concern such as immigration.

It is common knowledge that the electorate are sick and tired of the old Labour, Tory and Liberal clique who make promises at election time but do just as they please once elected. They fear it because if the British people discover that referenda are a better means of enforcing the nation's will than the 'old pals act' at Westminster, then it will not be long before the British people demand this direct access to decision making as a regular part of government.

They fear it because in the referendum campaign the British Nationalist programme of the National Front would be seen by the electorate to be not only the most coherent opposition to Europe but attractive in itself for voting in future elections.

They fear it because it may give the cause of British Nationalism as expounded by the National Front another opportunity to break through the undemocratic bias of the mass media. For this reason they will try to exclude the National Front from reports in the Press and from T.V., and to generally frustrate the British people from arriving at a

title of the RIIA-CFR set-up which was created by rich bankers at the turn of the century was none other than the 'Round Table Groups'.

As British Nationalists we object both to foreign aid for Communists and to the encroaching power of shady international organisations. We, therefore, demand:

- No more economic aid to the Soviet Union.
- Credit to be created only by the State and not by private Financiers, this credit to be spent — not lent — into circulation, debt and interest free, and to be directly related to goods and services coming onto the market.
- Foreign trade to be conducted by direct barter deals cutting out parasitical financial middlemen.
- An end to all aid from foreign foundations to sinister semi-secret societies aimed at undermining National Sovereignty.

truly democratic decision which can only be reached if the Nationalist view is given a fair hearing.

They fear it because the idea might take root that the INITIATIVE in calling future referenda be with the electorate, as it is in Switzerland, and not merely be a device just to be used by a self-interested Parliament.

If we can force a broadening of the whole approach to the Common Market referendum, it will not only be an important step in freeing Britain from Europe but a means of ensuring that we do not get sold out again, over this or any other issue. If we are successful, we will be well on the way to liberating this country from the Labour, Tory and Liberal politicians, who have made Britain into a weak pawn of the multi-national corporations and International Finance, and who have been prepared again and again to sell out British interests at home and abroad.

THIS REFERENDUM AND SUBSEQUENT ONES CAN GIVE TO THE BRITISH PEOPLE THE POWER WHICH THEY HAVE ALWAYS NEEDED BUT NEVER POSSESSED: THE RIGHT TO DEMAND THAT THEIR GOVERNMENT PUTS BRITISH INTERESTS FIRST AND THE BRITISH PEOPLE FIRST IN THEIR OWN COUNTRY!

NORWAY BOOMS

WHILE Britain and the other countries enslaved to the European Common Market suffer recession, free Norway is booming.

In Germany, for example, sales in the motor industry and in iron and steel were down 30% at the end of 1974 in comparison with the end of 1973. In the EEC as a whole there was a 40% increase in unemployment during 1974.

In Norway, however, domestic demand grew faster in 73-74 than it did in the previous year. This has clearly demonstrated that the Common Market is very far from being the panacea for economic ills.

Had Britain not applied to join the Common Market, Norway and Denmark would never even have considered it. In a recent poll conducted by *Boersen*, a Danish financial newspaper, 50% of Danes questioned wanted Denmark to leave the Common Market should Britain withdraw.

Britain First

No. 27 March—April 1975

Editor: Richard Lawson

Editorial Office: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

Britain First supports the views of the National Front editorially but is printed, published and distributed independently of the National Front by Britain First Press.

The views expressed in *Britain First* are the views of the Editor and the named contributors and should not necessarily be taken to be the official views of the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Britain First* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Many aspects of immigration are, to say the least, distasteful to the British population. Our anger, however, should not be directed towards immigrants but to the politicians who allowed or encouraged the problem. Race hatred is counter-productive and no sympathy can be extended to those who incite it.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

CANADIAN VIEW

Dear Editor,

It may come as a shock to some Canadians visiting the UK to be ushered into the passport line marked 'British Commonwealth' but treated like 'aliens'. Yet this is precisely what happens to visitors from the Old Commonwealth who do not qualify as 'Patrials' under the recently revised, EEC engendered, British immigration regulations. It's not enough that dad's name is chiselled upon the RAF Memorial at Runnymede, or that your grand-

There is simply no incentive at all for anybody to work harder, or take risk, when all the time one is penalised for doing so.

I feel sure that all the readers of this paper must be fed up to the back teeth with our treacherous politicians telling us we must tighten our belts and accept a lower standard of living for the next few years, when at the same time the people who are really responsible for our present plight do nothing about it themselves. We are never shown a lead by any public figure. Therefore, I say be damned to the call from our leaders to do this, that and the other, to help them get out of the mess that they and they alone created. By helping them we would only be assisting in keeping the Establishment established!

Nothing makes me more angry than being told day after day by the news media and the Members of Parliament that this country is in an economic mess, and at the same time reading and hearing about taxpayers' money being thrown

cial rewards he may be receiving, it would be quite wrong for the State to further encourage the idle and the feckless by rewarding those whose contribution is nil simply in order to alleviate poverty.

I would like to think that in the invigorating climate of a Nationalist Britain all would work equally hard for the complete recovery of Britain, but I have serious doubts about whether human nature can change so radically overnight.

Poverty through no fault of one's own is rather different and into this category I would place the elderly and the disabled in particular. The National Front has never made any secret of its intention of seeing such people well looked after and fully able to lead the life of dignity to which they are entitled. Furthermore, I have no reason to believe that once in power a National Front government would renege on that pledge.

I would therefore suggest that a distinction must be made and that poverty can only be fairly eradicated by breeding out of existence all those for whom an honest day's work would be anathema.

Michael Salt

LAYING THE BLAME

Dear Editor,

As a freethinking liberal I have little time for the National Front's conspiracy theories. However, I was intrigued by your attempt in issue No. 25 to blame not only the evil tyranny of Communism but also the Nazi scourge on 'International Finance'.

Although it is well known that Hitler was helped by German Big Business, I would have thought that it was patently obvious that however much else Hitler was a fraud, he was genuinely opposed to international banking if only because of the admittedly heavy Jewish preponderance in that profession.

Dave King

choice Continental pipelines into Hitlerism."

Further details of the foreign credits extended to Hitler in order to build up his war machine can be found in news reports and Parliamentary records of the time. In his book, *Lessons of My Life*, Lord Vansittart, head of the British Foreign Office for many years, wrote:

"We and several other countries were in it, too; but the United States were by far the major sinners, and alone provided over 50 per cent of the loans . . . Some of the first were made to the big German armament firms . . . Wall Street and the City kept fattening her for the slaughter of others."

It must be pointed out that although the predominantly Jewish nature of International Finance cannot be ignored, to be against International Finance is not being anti-Jewish any more than to be against the Mafia is being anti-Italian.

— Editor

STIMULATING

Dear Editor,

Britain First is much better with the colour red added here and there. It is stimulating — and why should 'the Reds' monopolise the most stimulating colour known to man?

B. T. Lewis, Glamorgan

Dear Editor,

I think that the new style of *Britain First* is a remarkable achievement. When I compare the new edition to the old ones, one can see a marvellous change. It is certainly for the better. Pity we can't have the print in blue as well. Keep it up. It's really great.

Gary Black

Dear Editor,

Many congratulations on the expanded *Britain First* which combines a first class layout with good punchy articles.

My suggestion that you overcome



MOORGATE TUBE DISASTER

Dear Editor,

The Moorgate Tube disaster was a horror we would not like to see again.

A tube train in the rush hour can contain several hundred people —

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

CANADIAN VIEW

Dear Editor,

It may come as a shock to some Canadians visiting the UK to be ushered into the passport line marked 'British Commonwealth' but treated like 'aliens'. Yet this is precisely what happens to visitors from the Old Commonwealth who do not qualify as 'Patrials' under the recently revised, EEC engendered, British immigration regulations. It's not enough that dad's name is chiselled upon the RAF Memorial at Runnymede, or that your grandfather fell at Ypres; what does matter is that you can prove that at least one of your grandparents was born in the UK. Under the new laws, this qualifies you as a 'Patrial' and permits you to reside indefinitely within the borders of the United Kingdom.

If that sounds reasonable, think again. For practical purposes, this means that anyone of British heritage whose relatives did not come to one of the Dominions until after 1920 is an 'alien', welcome as a visitor — but no more. If you're a direct descendant of a United Empire Loyalist, that's just too bad. It's simply your misfortune that your forebears chose to leave the UK in 1760, instead of sensibly waiting until the 'twenties when one of your grandparents would surely have been UK born.

But cheer up Canadians, you're in good company, for a lot of Australians and New Zealanders, possibly wearing decorations won fighting for the British Commonwealth, will be waiting in that alien line with you. There was no 'common market' then, just a job to be done, and they did it secure in the knowledge that the UK would always rank as a 'home away from home' if they needed it. But all that was before Edward Heath pledged

to feel sure that all the readers of this paper must be fed up to the back teeth with our treacherous politicians telling us we must tighten our belts and accept a lower standard of living for the next few years, when at the same time the people who are really responsible for our present plight do nothing about it themselves. We are never shown a lead by any public figure. Therefore, I say be damned to the call from our leaders to do this, that and the other, to help them get out of the mess that they and they alone created. By helping them we would only be assisting in keeping the Establishment established!

Nothing makes me more angry than being told day after day by the news media and the Members of Parliament that this country is in an economic mess, and at the same time reading and hearing about taxpayers' money being thrown away on such things as aid to the corrupt government of India amongst other places.

Then nearer home we have seen a Member of Parliament paid at our expense for living it up in Australia. M.P.s are NOT paid for what they do, only for what they are! We know what they are...

One could go on forever giving examples of how the taxpayers' money is wasted. If all the money wasted was in fact NOT wasted, taxes in this country could be reduced and we could still increase pensions.

Why the government wishes to spend your money for you is because by doing so they can have more and more control over your lives. I am of the opinion that it is high time that we of the National Front challenged these people who say we need to be the highest taxed nation in the world to explain WHY.

Ken Taylor, Hitchin

TAXATION is a problem which vexes us all. With the present financial system of constantly borrowing money from banks to pay back previous borrowings and interest, taxation is bound to rise. Did you know that Income Tax was originally introduced as a temporary measure!

Editor

work would be anathema.

Michael Salt

LAYING THE BLAME

Dear Editor,

As a freethinking liberal I have little time for the National Front's conspiracy theories. However, I was intrigued by your attempt in issue No. 25 to blame not only the evil tyranny of Communism but also the Nazi scourge on 'International Finance'.

Although it is well known that Hitler was helped by German Big Business, I would have thought that it was patently obvious that however much else Hitler was a fraud, he was genuinely opposed to international banking if only because of the admittedly heavy Jewish preponderance in that profession.

Dave King

PUTTING aside the question of whether a clear line can be drawn between Finance and Industrial Capitalism, and putting aside any consideration of the international connections of, for example, I. G. Farben, or Krupp's involvement in helping to build up Soviet Russia as well as financing the National Socialists, there still remains a wealth of evidence to show that the Nazis were closely and specifically connected with Wall Street and other cosmopolitan banking interests.

In his book, I Paid Hitler, Thyssen wrote:

"... About 1930, at the moment of the economic crisis the lack of foreign exchange caused difficulties in payments. Here again the Jewish bankers intervened; they were able to obtain moratoriums or renewals from the foreign creditors. The Nazis themselves have been obliged to recognise the services rendered by this small Jewish bank in Essen (Simon Hirschland Bank). It was this bank which negotiated the important American Krupp loan in collaboration with another Jewish bank, Goldman Sachs & Co. of New York. For a long time no one dared lay hands on the Simon Hirschland Bank, notwithstanding the pressure of the extremist element of the party. It was the last Jewish bank in

Dear Editor,

Britain First is much better with the colour red added here and there. It is stimulating — and why should 'the Reds' monopolise the most stimulating colour known to man?

B. T. Lewis, Glamorgan

Dear Editor,

I think that the new style of *Britain First* is a remarkable achievement. When I compare the new edition to the old ones, one can see a marvellous change. It is certainly for the better. Pity we can't have the print in blue as well. Keep it up. It's really great.

Gary Black

Dear Editor,

Many congratulations on the expanded *Britain First* which combines a first class layout with good punchy articles.

May I suggest that you overcome the objections of Richard Franklin and others, that the present two colour layout gives the paper the appearance of *Socialist Worker* et al, by using red and blue as the two printing colours and not red and black as at present.

Anthony Reed-Herbert

Dear Editor,

With regard to the controversy concerning red appearing in *Britain First*, I should have thought that this is wholly desirable when one considers that our Union Jack is composed for the most part of red.

Brian Lucchesi

Dear Editor,


The extra colouring adds attraction to the paper but the heading at the top of the front page may well have looked equally good in blue.

K. S. Walker

Dear Editor,

I can't see what all this fuss is about. The National Front *Election News* put out during the last General Election had a red block with white lettering in an almost identical style to *Britain First*. No one suggested that looked like *Socialist Worker*!

Peter Hone, Cardiff



MOORGATE TUBE DISASTER

Dear Editor,

The Moorgate Tube disaster was a horror we would not like to see again.

A tube train in the rush hour can contain several hundred people — more than in an airliner. Airline pilots, however, have a co-pilot to correct any human error. As an ex-trainee tube train driver I know that a simple slip of the hand could result in a serious accident.

Should it not be considered, therefore, that tube trains should have at least a two man crew?

Wayne Martin

Common Market wrecks Commonwealth farming

ONE and a half million Australian cattle will probably starve or have to be shot, because of the loss of the United Kingdom market now that the UK is in the EEC.

UK poultry farming, meanwhile, is being wrecked by the dumping of heavily subsidised French eggs, which are being sold at well below the actual cost of production.

In real terms the British farmer produces eggs more cheaply than any other country. If British producers went out of business it would leave housewives at the future mercy of foreign producers. In the long run this could mean much more expensive eggs.

READ:

the United Kingdom.

If that sounds reasonable, think again. For practical purposes, this means that anyone of British heritage whose relatives did not come to one of the Dominions until after 1920 is an 'alien', welcome as a visitor — but no more. If you're a direct descendant of a United Empire Loyalist, that's just too bad. It's simply your misfortune that your forebears chose to leave the UK in 1760, instead of sensibly waiting until the 'twenties when one of your grandparents would surely have been UK born.

But cheer up Canadians, you're in good company, for a lot of Australians and New Zealanders, possibly wearing decorations won fighting for the British Commonwealth, will be waiting in that alien line with you. There was no 'common market' then, just a job to be done, and they did it secure in the knowledge that the UK would always rank as a 'home away from home' if they needed it. But all that was before Edward Heath pledged the British people to an EEC membership that most Britons didn't want, and at the same time signed away the UK residency rights of most of the citizens of the original British Commonwealth.

— J. D. W. Wiebe
Recruitment Director
British Commonwealth Alliance
Dominion of Canada

REASONING WHY

Dear Editor,

It never ceases to amaze me that the British people accept without hardly a murmur the ever increasing tax burden thrust upon us by Denis Healey and others. The present chancellor has far more taxes coming in than he budgeted for because of the high rate of inflation — he does not have to raise more taxes which he has threatened to do in order to pay for the increase in pensions. This nation is far over-taxed now.

... are NOT paid for what they do, only for what they are! We know what they are...

One could go on forever giving examples of how the taxpayers' money is wasted. If all the money wasted was in fact NOT wasted, taxes in this country could be reduced and we could still increase pensions.

Why the government wishes to spend your money for you is because by doing so they can have more and more control over your lives. I am of the opinion that it is high time that we of the National Front challenged these people who say we need to be the highest taxed nation in the world to explain WHY.

Ken Taylor, Hitchin

TAXATION is a problem which vexes us all. With the present financial system of constantly borrowing money from banks to pay back previous borrowings and interest, taxation is bound to rise. Did you know that Income Tax was originally introduced as a temporary measure!

Editor

POVERTY — CAUSES AND SOLUTIONS

Dear Editor,

I am sure that Neil Farnell's determination to see poverty eradicated in a Nationalist Britain (*Britain First* No. 25) is sincere and well-intentioned. However, it does seem based on the false premise that an individual's financial circumstances and the quality of life he is thus able to lead is a result of outside factors alone which are beyond his control.

Man has been endowed with Free Will to exercise as he sees fit and should he choose to live a life in which poverty can only be endemic, the State should not be put under any obligation to provide for him.

Whilst it is quite true that an individual's contribution to Society does not always equate to the finan-

Farben, or Krupp's involvement in helping to build up Soviet Russia as well as financing the National Socialists, there still remains a wealth of evidence to show that the Nazis were closely and specifically connected with Wall Street and other cosmopolitan banking interests.

In his book, *I Paid Hitler*, Thyssen wrote:

"... About 1930, at the moment of the economic crisis the lack of foreign exchange caused difficulties in payments. Here again the Jewish bankers intervened; they were able to obtain moratoriums or renewals from the foreign creditors. The Nazis themselves have been obliged to recognise the services rendered by this small Jewish bank in Essen (Simon Hirschland Bank). It was this bank which negotiated the important American Krupp loan in collaboration with another Jewish bank, Goldman Sachs & Co. of New York. For a long time no one dared lay hands on the Simon Hirschland Bank, notwithstanding the pressure of the extremist element of the party. It was the last Jewish bank in Germany under Nazi domination. Owing to the foreign credits it was impossible to suppress it."

During the Nuremberg trials Dr. Schacht requested that a Mr. Jeidels be called from America to give evidence in his favour. According to *Time* magazine, July 3rd 1942, Jeidels: "was born an insider, the son of an old Frankfurt Jewish banking family... In the twenties Jeidels was boss of Germany's No. 1 Investment Bank, the *Handelsgesellschaft*, was one of Schacht's closest cronies... One stockholder of the *Handelsgesellschaft* was Paul M. Warburg... who tried to help Germany's comeback... Hitler let Jeidels be his deputy at the famous *Standstill Agreement*... he satisfied the British by keeping debts unrepudiated, and the Nazis by keeping them frozen... Now he is a partner in the Manhattan firm of *Lazard Freres*... Jeidels, who is a friend of *Montagu Norman*, has access to

printing colours and not red and black as at present.

Anthony Reed-Herbert

Dear Editor,

With regard to the controversy concerning red appearing in *Britain First*, I should have thought that this is wholly desirable when one considers that our Union Jack is composed for the most part of red.

Brian Lucchesi

Dear Editor,

The extra colouring adds attraction to the paper but the heading at the top of the front page may well have looked equally good in blue.

K. S. Walker

Dear Editor,

I can't see what all this fuss is about. The *National Front Election News* put out during the last General Election had a red block with white lettering in an almost identical style to *Britain First*. No one suggested that looked like *Socialist Worker*!

Peter Hone, Cardiff

If blue replaced red *Britain First* would be mistaken for a Tory paper especially since the Tories stole its very title as their last election slogan. This would not help *Britain First*'s development in the predominantly Labour areas where it currently enjoys its greatest popularity.

Printing in red and blue must also be rejected as *Britain First* simply wouldn't look like a newspaper. Blue print is not, in any case, so easy to read while blue photographs always look very poor.

As the largest single body of opinion prefers the current format it will be kept, with the qualification that we might in the future add blue as well so that the *Union Jack* could be in its proper colours. The cost of this, however, would have to be raised by increased donations though it may be felt that money could be better spent on having yet another increase in size. — Editor

Should it not be considered, therefore, that tube trains should have at least a two man crew?

Wayne Martin

Common Market wrecks Commonwealth farming

ONE and a half million Australian cattle will probably starve or have to be shot, because of the loss of the United Kingdom market now that the UK is in the EEC.

UK poultry farming, meanwhile, is being wrecked by the dumping of heavily subsidised French eggs, which are being sold at well below the actual cost of production.

In real terms the British farmer produces eggs more cheaply than any other country. If British producers went out of business it would leave housewives at the future mercy of foreign producers. In the long run this could mean much more expensive eggs.

READ:

THE COMMON MARKET: WHY BRITAIN MUST GET OUT

by Andrew Coniam

Available at 25p (inc. post.) from
NATIONALIST BOOKS
50 Pawsons Road,
Croydon, Surrey

READ

Spearhead

A 20 page monthly published in support of the National Front. Please enclose 10p in stamps for a sample copy from: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

RACE BOARD INFAMY No.1

RACE RELATIONS BOARD
5 Lower Belgrave Street LONDON SW1
Telephone: 01-730 6291

WM/73/98

29 November 1974

Mr [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


Dear Mr [REDACTED]

I am now able to let you know the Board's decision in the matter of your complaint against "Grass Roots".

As you know, the West Metropolitan Conciliation Committee formed the opinion that the publication of the words "Entrants must be black" in a notice advertising a competition contravened the Section 6 of the 1968 Race Relations Act.

Although the Board were not able to secure an assurance against a repetition of the unlawful act the journal has been left in no doubt as to the seriousness with which the Board views their action. Our information is however that the offending words have not been used again and in the circumstances the Board have decided to use its discretion not to bring proceedings.

Yours sincerely



C Fudge
Principal Conciliation Officer

ABOUT a year ago an alert National Front member spotted in the Black Panther newspaper, *Grass Roots*, an advertisement for a competition which specified that "All entrants must be Black".

Despite the fact that he wholeheartedly agreed with discrimination on the basis of race, the NF member decided to complain to the Race Relations Board, partly to test out the Race Board's 'fairness' and partly to demonstrate to the Black Panthers that the Race Relations industry is as much their enemy as ours.

CONTEMPT

The Race Board wrote to *Grass Roots* and asked them to apologise. *Grass Roots* promptly published an article telling the Race Board where to get off: "*Grass Roots* treats the RRB . . . with the contempt it deserves." Despite this blatant disrespect the Race Board decided to drop the case.


When the complainant phoned up to find out exactly what were the Board's reasons for doing so, Mr. Fudge — the principal conciliation officer — refused to comment and slammed the phone down.

Publicans!

MANY landlords are afraid to refuse service to coloured immigrants because of the Race Relations Act. There are, however, three very good ways in which you can discriminate quite legally:

(a) Refuse to serve people on the

Behind the housing scandal: local authority indebtedness



IF YOU are forced to live in 'housing' like the above despite having been on a council house waiting list for many years, it is very largely the result of local authority indebtedness.


Although immigration has undoubtedly aggravated and heightened the housing shortage, the

New loans are now costing local authorities about 13 or 14%. Supposing that the cost of a house and

RACE BOARD INFAMY No. 2

as to the seriousness with which the Board views their action. Our information is however that the offending words have not been used again and in the circumstances the Board have decided to use its discretion not to bring proceedings.

Yours sincerely



C Fudge
Principal Conciliation Officer

RACE BOARD INFAMY No. 2



Kingsley Read

DURING May/June of 1974 Kingsley Read circulated about 2,000 letters to residents in the Queens Park ward of Blackburn appealing to them not to sell their homes to coloured immigrants. The letters were signed in his capacity as National Front prospective parliamentary candidate for Blackburn.

This provoked the Race Board to haul him before its North-West "Conciliation Committee". This was not only an attack on the freedom of speech of Kingsley Read, or even of the National Front: it was an attack on each and every Briton to proclaim in his own country that British people must be put first.

The Race Board's conciliation officer who corresponded with

asked to withdraw his letter and apologise for it he will do no such thing. Furthermore, if the Race Board Gestapo impose a fine he will refuse to pay it, preferring to go to prison for his beliefs.

Telegrams, resolutions and other messages of support should be sent to Kingsley Read at the National Front Head Office.

deserves." Despite this blatant disrespect the Race Board decided to drop the case.

When the complainant phoned up to find out exactly what were the Board's reasons for doing so, Mr. Fudge — the principal conciliation officer — refused to comment and slammed the phone down.

Publicans!

MANY landlords are afraid to refuse service to coloured immigrants because of the Race Relations Act. There are, however, three very good ways in which you can discriminate quite legally:

- (a) Refuse to serve people on the grounds of religion.
- (b) Refuse to serve someone on the grounds that they cannot speak English.
- (c) Tell coloured immigrants that the National Front uses your pub for meetings — this has an electrifying effect!

Barbers!

YOU CAN'T refuse to serve coloured immigrants, but you could explain that you're not used to cutting Afro hair, and then wait to see if they come back again after the first experiment.

CHILE SAUCE

THE ESTABLISHMENT politicians have now come up with a new excuse as to why Britain should be flooded with foreigners and Britons should take second place in their own country. Faced with an influx of 5000 'refugees' from the South American republic of Chile, the authorities could not bring out of cold storage all the old familiar wails

already arrived in this country and are already beginning to establish themselves in our big cities. The Greater London Council has allocated 70 homes for Chilean and Cypriot refugees, despite the fact that the capital has one of the most chronic housing shortages in the country. Five houses on the GLC's Thamesmead new town, which has a

we decided to break our rules and let English families in. The position has now changed. There are many refugee families coming into this country." Mrs. Bell's solicitor has told her that because the refugee council is a charity, tenants have no security of tenure.

A special nationwide campaign has also been organised by the



IF YOU are forced to live in 'housing' like the above despite having been on a council house waiting list for many years, it is very largely the result of local authority indebtedness.

Although immigration has undoubtedly aggravated and highlighted the housing shortage, the essential problem is caused by lack of money for municipal housing schemes. This shortage of cash stems directly from the vast interest payments that have to be paid on the loans (owing directly or indirectly to the banking system) upon which the financing of council house building and other activities is based.

The Local Government Financial Statistics, England and Wales 1972-3, reveal that at the end of March 1973 local authorities in England and Wales were in debt to the tune of £17,000 million. That is a debt of over £320 for every man, woman and child in the country — and every penny has to be paid back plus interest! All of which YOU have to pay!

New loans are now costing local authorities about 13 or 14%. Supposing that the cost of a house and its land is £10,000 and that the money is raised at only 10% for a period of 50 years, the local authority will end up paying £60,000 for the house!

DECENT HOMES

We believe that if local authorities are to build decent homes for our people, they must be freed from the slavery of debt. Loans for the financing of council houses should be provided interest free by a municipal bank. That way we only pay for what we need — and not to maintain the bankers in the manner to which they have become accustomed.



Kingsley Read

DURING May/June of 1974 Kingsley Read circulated about 2,000 letters to residents in the Queens Park ward of Blackburn appealing to them not to sell their homes to coloured immigrants. The letters were signed in his capacity as National Front prospective parliamentary candidate for Blackburn.

This provoked the Race Board to haul him before its North-West "Conciliation Committee". This was not only an attack on the freedom of speech of Kingsley Read, or even of the National Front: it was an attack on each and every Briton to proclaim in his own country that British people must be put first.

The Race Board's conciliation officer who corresponded with Kingsley Read prior to the hearing was none other than a certain Mr. Roy Martin — a person of mixed race and a former agent to Kingsley Read's election opponent, Mrs. Barbara Castle; whilst the chairman of the hearing itself was a Dr. S. S. Chatterjee — an Indian.

On his visit to the Board, Kingsley Read was accompanied by — in the estimate of *The Guardian* — about 1,000 people. The supporters came from as far afield as Hastings and Bristol, losing a day's work to make the trip.

At the British Aircraft Corporation factory in Preston, workers had spontaneously circulated leaflets in support of Kingsley Read. The leaflets were in the form of a letter and read: "We, the workers of Preston, urge all Lancs folk to fight for him for he fights for you."

Though Kingsley Read has been

asked to withdraw his letter and apologise for it he will do no such thing. Furthermore, if the Race Board Gestapo impose a fine he will refuse to pay it, preferring to go to prison for his beliefs.

Telegrams, resolutions and other messages of support should be sent to Kingsley Read at the National Front Head Office.

TRUE THEN TRUE NOW

"Why should the Charter of the Bank of England be renewed, or why should bankers exist at all? Neither the one nor the other contribute to augment the property of the country: while by dealing in money and assisting monopolizers, who are always hurtful to every state, they acquire immense fortunes by availing themselves of a resource which properly belongs to the state, and which, under a proper system of management, would not only ease the country of the pressure of war taxes, but would even enable the Government to pay off the national debt."

— *Jeremy Bentham*

the National Front uses your pub for meetings — this has an electrifying effect!

Barbers!

YOU CAN'T refuse to serve coloured immigrants, but you could explain that you're not used to cutting Afro hair, and then wait to see if they come back again after the first experiment.

loans (owing directly or indirectly to the banking system) upon which the financing of council house building and other activities is based.

The Local Government Financial Statistics, England and Wales 1972-3, reveal that at the end of March 1973 local authorities in England and Wales were in debt to the tune of £17,000 million. That is a debt of over £320 for every man, woman and child in the country — and every penny has to be paid back plus interest! All of which YOU have to pay!

DECENT HOMES

We believe that if local authorities are to build decent homes for our people, they must be freed from the slavery of debt. Loans for the financing of council houses should be provided interest free by a municipal bank. That way we only pay for what we need — and not to maintain the bankers in the manner to which they have become accustomed.

CHILE SAUCE

THE ESTABLISHMENT politicians have now come up with a new excuse as to why Britain should be flooded with foreigners and Britons should take second place in their own country. Faced with an influx of 5000 'refugees' from the South American republic of Chile, the authorities could not bring out of cold storage all the old familiar wails such as "But they've got British passports" or "We exploited them for so long", complete with heart-rending string accompaniment from bleeding-heart liberals and trendy-left multi-racialists.

Undaunted however, by this slight variation from the norm, the Establishment invented a completely new set of 'reasons' why the Chileans should come in and be given preferential treatment — they were "political refugees, fleeing from a totalitarian regime". Needless to say, this only applies to refugees fleeing from totalitarian "right-wing" countries. Those persons escaping from totalitarian Communist countries, such as the thousands of Chinese who annually swim across to the British territory of Hong Kong, must be sent back to face punishment.

Most of the 5000 Chileans have

already arrived in this country and are already beginning to establish themselves in our big cities. The Greater London Council has allocated 70 homes for Chilean and Cypriot refugees, despite the fact that the capital has one of the most chronic housing shortages in the country. Five houses on the GLC's Thamesmead new town, which has a waiting list of 10,000, were assigned to Chileans. This prompted Greenwich branch of the National Front to embark on a leaflet campaign in the area, which resulted in many new members. A dozen branch activists also interrupted the full meeting of the GLC, demanding to know why the people of London had not been consulted. The council chamber was promptly evacuated and the negro Chairman, "Lord" David Pitt, was so startled that he almost woke up.

In Yorkshire a 34-year old woman, Mrs. Norah Bell, and her 10-year old son are facing eviction from their home in Thornsett Road, Sheffield, since the owners want to give the flat to a Chilean refugee family. The general secretary of the British Council for Aid to Refugees, which owns the flat, commented, "These flats were empty so

we decided to break our rules and let English families in. The position has now changed. There are many refugee families coming into this country." Mrs. Bell's solicitor has told her that because the refugee council is a charity, tenants have no security of tenure.

A special nationwide campaign has also been organised by the Tottenham-based World University Service to sponsor Chilean students who would like to come to Britain to complete their studies. Various universities have contributed over £5000 to the campaign, and such 'philanthropic' organisations as the Ford Foundation have given £7000. Christian Aid has contributed a massive £10,000.

In North London, talks have started between the far-Left Camden Council and the International Socialist-dominated trade union NALGO, to work out arrangements whereby council staff vacancies can be filled from Chile. Camden's NALGO spokesman, Mrs. Jo. Sullivan commented, "This is a humanitarian issue." Doubtless the thousands of British people in dole queues would share this altruistic sentiment.

DANGER: BRAINWASHERS AT WORK

by DAVE McCALDEN

ALTHOUGH OUR universities and colleges have long ago been taken over by liberals, Leftists and cosmopolitans of one variety or another (indeed institutes like the LSE and Sydney Webb College were founded and financed by wealthy liberals), up until now our schools have remained relatively untainted by their pernicious influence.

However, in the past few years, strenuous efforts have been made, not only by the far Left organisations, but also by liberals in the Establishment, to take over the teaching profession and deliberately run down educational standards in order to make our children more receptive to and less questioning of multi-racialist, internationalist brain-washing.

Principal in this infiltration operation is a Trotskyite organisation known as **Rank and File**, which is nothing less than a front for the International Socialist organisation. Their imaginatively-titled newspaper, *Rank and File* is printed by the IS printing company, SW Litho. One of their principal organisers is Mrs. Chanie (pronounced "Hani") Rosenberg, a schoolteacher, and secretary of Hackney Teachers' Association. Mrs. Rosenberg also writes for the IS paper, *Socialist Worker* under the pen-name "C. Dallas".

Rank and File, like their parent organisation, are viciously opposed to democracy and the National Front. They recently promoted a campaign to demand the sacking of an NF teacher from Tulse Hill School in South London, and orchestrated a demonstration outside the school with the avowed intent of frightening the teacher

the NUT has been the domain of their arch-rivals the Communist Party, with a Communist President, Max Morris, and general secretary, Sam Fisher. In February 1973, R&F members ran riot at an NUT rally at Central Hall, Westminster, bringing the proceedings to a halt by occupying the platform and assaulting union officials. Internal charges were brought against three of the ringleaders from Wandsworth, including David Whitely, the present editor of *Rank and File*. However, after nearly two years' protracted discussions, the NUT has now mysteriously dropped all charges. This may or may not have something to do with the fact that three leading Rank and Filers have recently been elected to the NUT National Executive. These are the ubiquitous Mr. North of Sellincourt School, Wandsworth, Beth Stone of Godwin School, Forest Gate and Betty Coates of Bell Street School, Wig-



ALL PALS TOGETHER

NUT chiefs welcome the newly elected Trotskyites onto the National Executive. Rear left: Betty Coates, Rank & File supporter. Centre: Beth Stone, Rank & File South of England organiser. Centre right: Dick North, Rank & File National Activities chairman. Right (shaking hands): Jim Caulfield, NUT President 1974-5.

ately seat Black and White children together, in the hope of promoting integration, as well as attempting to indoctrinate them with the familiar racial-equality myth.

There are many variegated organisations producing propaganda for use in classroom brain-washing, many with official backing. One such is the National Foundation of Educational Research in England and Wales, whose bulletins are posted in staffrooms all around the country. In their September 1974

edition, *Multi-Racial Education* column. Tulse Hill was the HQ for a campaign to free the three West Indian youths, one a pupil, arrested after a riot at a local funfair. Local shopkeepers refuse to allow more than two boys in at a time, otherwise pilfering is impossible to con-

name. Although they do not have the backing of any particular Left-wing group, they are Marxist, and advocate the use of texts by such Black authors as W. E. B. du Bois, the first prominent negro member of the American Communist Party, George Jackson, the criminal who died in an abortive jail-break shoot-out, and Stokely Carmichael a self-styled Black Panther, petty crook and rapist. Amongst the TAR teachers at Tulse Hill is a West Indian, Sam Morris, who authored a booklet on Black Studies printed

RANK AND VILE

For some peculiar reason, all the leading Rank & File members

liberals in the Establishment, to take over the teaching profession and deliberately run down educational standards in order to make our children more receptive to and less questioning of multi-racialist, internationalist brain-washing.

Principal in this infiltration operation is a Trotskyite organisation known as **Rank and File**, which is nothing less than a front for the International Socialist organisation. Their imaginatively-titled newspaper, *Rank and File* is printed by the IS printing company, SW Litho. One of their principal organisers is Mrs. Chanie (pronounced "Hani") Rosenberg, a schoolteacher, and secretary of Hackney Teachers' Association. Mrs. Rosenberg also writes for the IS paper, *Socialist Worker* under the pen-name "C. Dallas".

Rank and File, like their parent organisation, are viciously opposed to democracy and the National Front. They recently promoted a campaign to demand the sacking of an NF teacher from Tulse Hill School in South London, and orchestrated a demonstration outside the school with the avowed intent of frightening the teacher away. Although the demonstration appeared to the assembled press-men to be organised by a particularly loud-mouthed West Indian, it was quite obvious to the observant bystander that the three West Indian parents who turned up were no more than window-dressing for an

the NUT has been the domain of their arch-rivals the Communist Party, with a Communist President, Max Morris, and general secretary, Sam Fisher. In February 1973, R&F members ran riot at an NUT rally at Central Hall, Westminster, bringing the proceedings to a halt by occupying the platform and assaulting union officials. Internal charges were brought against three of the ringleaders from Wandsworth, including David Whitely, the present editor of *Rank and File*. However, after nearly two years' protracted discussions, the NUT has now mysteriously dropped all charges. This may or may not have something to do with the fact that three leading Rank and Filers have recently been elected to the NUT National Executive. These are the ubiquitous Mr. North of Sellincourt School, Wandsworth, Beth Stone of Godwin School, Forest Gate and Betty Coates of Bell Street School, Wigston. Amongst the "democratic" principles of this clique are the abolition of union members' postal votes, so that union meetings can be packed with militants and pass unrepresentative motions, unconditional support for all "victimised" teachers (except National Front



ALL PALS TOGETHER

NUT chiefs welcome the newly elected Trotskyites onto the National Executive. Rear left: Betty Coates, Rank & File supporter. Centre: Beth Stone, Rank & File South of England organiser. Centre right: Dick North, Rank & File National Activities chairman. Right (shaking hands): Jim Caulfield, NUT President 1974-5.

ately seat Black and White children together, in the hope of promoting integration, as well as attempting to indoctrinate them with the familiar racial-equality myth.

There are many variegated organisations producing propaganda for use in classroom brain-washing, many with official backing. One such is the National Foundation of Educational Research in England and Wales, whose bulletins are posted in staffrooms all around the country. In their September 1974 edition, a Multi-Racial Education Special, they admitted their express purpose of warping young, impressionable minds: "It is manifest that to educate children for life in a multiracial society will involve both changing children and thereby ultimately changing society."

Needless to say, the government-financed Community Relations Commission also publicises materials for use in multi-racial schools. Their bibliography for schools includes such delights as "Mogo's Flute" and "Taresh the Tea Planter". Undoubtedly these kind of materials will be very meaningful to British schoolkids.

In fact, the entire pitch of multi-racial school books is not towards "making immigrants feel at home" or to "counteract culture-shock", but to deliberately and insidiously make White kids feel ashamed of their nation, history and race, and to bolster up immigrant children's "Black Pride". It is not therefore surprising that the chief areas of Black violence in schools are those where "Black Studies" are taught as a bona-fide lesson. Two schools in South London, Tulse Hill and

lum. Tulse Hill was the HQ for a campaign to free the three West Indian youths, one a pupil, arrested after a riot at a local funfair. Local shopkeepers refuse to allow more than two boys in at a time, otherwise pilfering is impossible to con-

RANK AND VILE

For some peculiar reason, all the leading Rank & File members live in the same part of London — Stoke Newington. Possibly this gives them a greater feeling of solidarity, which they would lack if they had to go out and mix with real people. The leading members in this area are:

Tony Clarke, 46 Manor Rd., N16
"Chanie Rosenberg", 58 Allerton Road, N16
Dorothy McColgan, 163 Green Lanes, N16
Leni Solinger, 46 Manor Rd., N16
Nigel Wright, 28 Manor Rd., N16

trol. The school has until recently been without a headmaster, since the previous principal and vice-principal resigned over six months ago, unable to control violence or "communicate" to the Blacks. At William Penn it is the same story, where some time ago their caretaker, an official of the London School Caretakers Association, revealed to the press that the school, built as a model comprehensive, was in fact chaotic bordering on the anarchic, with widespread vandalism and ill-discipline. Indeed, two years ago a female teacher at the school,

name. Although they do not have the backing of any particular Left-wing group, they are Marxist, and advocate the use of texts by such Black authors as W. E. B. du Bois, the first prominent negro member of the American Communist Party, George Jackson, the criminal who died in an abortive jail-break shootout, and Stokely Carmichael a self-styled Black Panther, petty crook and rapist. Amongst the TAR teachers at Tulse Hill is a West Indian, Sam Morris, who authored a booklet on Black Studies printed by SW Litho, the IS printing outfit. Down the road at William Penn, until recently the head of the Social Studies Department was a TAR teacher, Morris Pollack. Pollack is also a part-time liaison officer for Lewisham Council for Community Relations, whose chairman is a prominent Communist Party member — a West Indian by the name of Asquith Gibbes.

By one means or another, Communists have gained a foothold in our schools. They have already embarked on a campaign to inculcate our children with their own perverted race-mixing beliefs. They have already embarked on a campaign to degrade and debilitate our youngsters through the introduction of mixed-ability classes, the deliberate down-grading of discipline and preferential treatment for Blacks. Judging by the ludicrous Tulse Hill demonstration, and the subsequent action of the Lambeth NUT to call for the ostracism of a National Front teacher by his colleagues, the next step in their plans will be to attempt to destroy any viable opposition.

They know, as we do, that the

WHAT'S IN A NAME?

If not a family movement the International Socialists are run rather like a family business even if this is hidden from members by some judicious name changing.

The founder of the I.S. is known as "Tony Cliff" except in the London telephone directory where he appears under his real name of Ygael Gluckstein (the name his children use). Gluckstein was born in Haifa and is still an Israeli citizen. He is notorious even within the I.S. for his close collaboration with Zionist interests.

Gluckstein's wife who used to be on the I.S. Executive is known as "Mrs. Chanie Rosenberg". Rosenberg was her maiden name so she does have some claim to it. Her real forenames, however, are Anetta Pauline.

The head of the I.S. publishing firm, Pluto Press, is "Michael Kidron" — or should it be Michael Rosenberg as he is none other than Anetta's brother? "Kidron" lives in Hampstead and is an Oxford graduate with a wealthy South African background that has enabled him — together with his family contacts — to buy his way into the organisation. Some Socialist? Some revolutionary?

stander that the three West Indian parents who turned up were no more than window-dressing for an

be attacked with militants and pass unrepresentative motions, unconditional support for all "victimised" teachers (except National Front

WHAT'S IN A NAME?

If not a family movement the International Socialists are run rather like a family business even if this is hidden from members by some judicious name changing.

The founder of the I.S. is known as "Tony Cliff" except in the London telephone directory where he appears under his real name of Ygael Gluckstein (the name his children use). Gluckstein was born in Haifa and is still an Israeli citizen. He is notorious even within the I.S. for his close collaboration with Zionist interests.

Gluckstein's wife who used to be on the I.S. Executive is known as "Mrs. Chanie Rosenberg". Rosenberg was her maiden name so she does have some claim to it. Her real forenames, however, are Anetta Pauline.

The head of the I.S. publishing firm, Pluto Press, is "Michael Kidron" — or should it be Michael Rosenberg as he is none other than Anetta's brother? "Kidron" lives in Hampstead and is an Oxford graduate with a wealthy South African background that has enabled him — together with his family contacts — to buy his way into the organisation. Some Socialists! Some revolutionaries!

insidious variety of ultra Left wingers present, including the chairman of the R&F organising Committee, Dick North.

Rank and Filers have also been prominent at various mass-assaults on National Front meetings and individuals. During the October election campaign, the Hackney Teachers Association (q.v.) mobilised as a body to storm an NF election meeting in a local school. And about six months ago, I was myself beaten and kicked down three flights of stairs by a dozen of these louts, when I was recognised at a public meeting at the L.S.E.

Needless to say, Rank and File also fulfils the role of an IS "fraction", that is to say, a militant group which deliberately tries to take over a trade union. In the case of teachers, R&F has made a concerted effort to seize control of the National Union of Teachers (the other unions like the NAS being smaller and less receptive to Left-wing takeover). In the last few years

members) and joint action with other militants in support of "working class" (translation: Communist) demands. Although the R&F manifesto makes passing reference to teaching policies such as the abolition of corporal punishment and examinations, their magazine does not even mention classroom techniques or educational policy. In fact, the paper is so taken up with the slogans and dogma of Marxist dialectics that one could be forgiven for mistaking it for a Chinese propaganda bulletin, rather than the journal of a professional association.

But although Rank and File are primarily a political and not an educational subversive movement, there can be little doubt that their members in schools (when they are in school and not out on strike) deliberately promote multi-racialist international Marxism both in their "lessons" and in their administration of the classroom. It is common practice for Left-wing teachers to deliber-

multiracial society will involve both changing children and thereby ultimately changing society."

Needless to say, the government-financed Community Relations Commission also publicises materials for use in multi-racial schools. Their bibliography for schools includes such delights as "Mogo's Flute" and "Taresh the Tea Planter". Undoubtedly these kind of materials will be very meaningful to British schoolkids.

In fact, the entire pitch of multi-racial school books is not towards "making immigrants feel at home" or to "counteract culture-shock", but to deliberately and insidiously make White kids feel ashamed of their nation, history and race, and to bolster up immigrant children's "Black Pride". It is not therefore surprising that the chief areas of Black violence in schools are those where "Black Studies" are taught as a bona-fide lesson. Two schools in South London, Tulse Hill and William Penn are notorious for the amount of vandalism, truancy and ill-discipline that takes place, yet by coincidence these schools were the first in the country to introduce Black Studies as part of the curricu-

mix with real people. The leading members in this area are: Tony Clarke, 46 Manor Rd., N16 "Chanie Rosenberg", 58 Allerton Road, N16 Dorothy McColgan, 163 Green Lanes, N16 Leni Solinger, 46 Manor Rd., N16 Nigel Wright, 28 Manor Rd., N16


control. The school has until recently been without a headmaster, since the previous principal and vice-principal resigned over six months ago, unable to control violence or "communicate" to the Blacks. At William Penn it is the same story, where some time ago their caretaker, an official of the London School Caretakers Association, revealed to the press that the school, built as a model comprehensive, was in fact chaotic bordering on the anarchic, with widespread vandalism and ill-discipline. Indeed, two years ago a female teacher at the school, fresh out of teachers' training, was raped in a classroom.

The principle promoter of Black Studies is an organisation known as Teachers Against Racism, which publishes a magazine of the same

teacher, Morris Pollack. Pollack is also a part-time liaison officer for Lewisham Council for Community Relations, whose chairman is a prominent Communist Party member — a West Indian by the name of Asquith Gibbes.

By one means or another, Communists have gained a foothold in our schools. They have already embarked on a campaign to inculcate our children with their own perverted race-mixing beliefs. They have already embarked on a campaign to degrade and debilitate our youngsters through the introduction of mixed-ability classes, the deliberate down-grading of discipline and preferential treatment for Blacks. Judging by the ludicrous Tulse Hill demonstration, and the subsequent action of the Lambeth NUT to call for the ostracism of a National Front teacher by his colleagues, the next step in their plans will be to attempt to destroy any viable opposition.

They know, as we do, that the only real and genuine threat to Marxism and Multi-Racialism comes from the National Front. That is why they seek to destroy us; and that is precisely why we will never give in.



Why do Communists support Multi-Racialism?

PHILIP GEGAN GIVES HIS VIEWS

THIS IS DONE in order to throw together Blacks and Whites in housing, jobs and social activities to increase competitiveness between the native Britons and the alien Afro-Asians, and so whip up racial tension where none existed before. Then, by encouraging racial pride in the Coloureds and Negroes and at the same time instilling a feeling of guilt into native Britons, the Left can survive, and indeed thrive, on the resultant misery and degradation which multi-racial living involves.

The introduction of Black Studies into school curricula to give the false impression that Negro Africa had some sort of cultural history, and the recent crop of films (produced by Big Business film corporations like M.G.M. and Warner Bros.) which flatter the Black man at the expense of the Whites, e.g. 'Shaft', are examples of boosting the racial morale of coloured people.

The so-called 'revolutionary' Left are thus working hand-in-glove with the Establishment — the House of Commons Select Committee on Race Relations, the Race Relations Industry, and the big multi-national corporations. These huge international Capitalist outfits keep down the cost of the wage bill and thus increase their profits while they support the free movement of labour, i.e. mass coloured immigration. This means cheap labour. White workers who want a fairer rate for the job can be replaced by coloured workers who will accept lower pay and worse working conditions.

coloured people in Britain, as well as an increasing number of native Britons, are the chief sufferers of this lunatic multi-racial policy now being applied to the whole country by the crackpot politicians of the Labour, Tory and Liberal Parties, and supported by the riff-raff of the 'revolutionary' Left.

Mass coloured immigration into Britain was started after the Second World War through pressure by international Big Business in order to keep the wage level of working people low. Now the Left, which is supposed to be so anti-capitalist, instead of trying to defend the rights of British working people, co-operates with the Monopoly Capitalists in keeping wages down and so hoping to profit from the resultant discontent by way of increasing its membership and strength. How does it do this? By supporting increased coloured immigration into our already overcrowded country and accusing all people and organisations who

EXPOSE THESE RENEGADES!

MANY PEOPLE currently prominent in the campaign to promote multi-racialism and to deny freedom of speech to the National Front, accepted invitations to a conference entitled 'Trade Union Movement Against Discrimination', which was held on the 12th & 13th December 1970. These people have subsequently done more than anyone else to betray the interests of the very class they claim to represent.

If any readers can supply further information concerning the individuals listed below, would they please write to Jack Jackson, c/o NF Head Office. This list is as follows:

George La Qua, 2 The Gables, 290 Heston Road, Heston, Middlesex.
N. Patel, Hon Secretary, Patidar-Samaj (Indian Association), 6 Bridgewood Road, Streatham Vale Estate, London, S.W.16.
Winston S. Pinder, 8 Charlton Road, Kenton, Middlesex.
William, D. Roche, 24 Twynhams, Tackley, Oxon.
Rolston E. Williams, 39 Chillingworth Crescent, Wood Farm, Oxford.
Mr F. Shepherd, 60 Almond Avenue, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1EW.
Mr F. Augustine, 23 Jesmond Avenue, Wembley, Middlesex.
T. F. Cheesman, 149 Hillary Road, Southall, Middlesex.
A. Salisbury, 12 Woodmead Grange Road, Tottenham, London, N.17.
W. J. Sparks, 158 Linkfield Road, Isleworth, Middlesex.
Mr M. Styles, Chairman, Union of Post Office Workers, U.P.W. House, Crescent Lane, London, S.W.4.
Mr A. E. Gulliford, 9 Northbrook Road, Southampton, Hants. SO2 0BW.
Mr E. McCluskey, 236 Tennyson Road, Coventry.
Mr G. Johnson, 13 King Street, Cradley Heath, Warley, Worcs.
Mr B. Jones, Martin Luther King Foundation, 4a Balham Station Road, London, S.W.12.
Mr M. Dryden, 48 Drayton Grove, West Ealing, W.13.
Mr D. I. Lipsey, Ruxley Towers, Claygate, Esher, Surrey.
Mr P. Rosenfeld, U.S.D.A.W., 'Oakley', 188 Wilmslow Road, Manchester 14.
Miss Rita Stephen, Clerical and Administrative Workers' Union, 22 Worpole Road, Wimbledon, London, S.W.19.
Mr J. L. Richardson, Transport Salaried Staffs' Association, Walkden House, 10 Melton Street, London, N.W.1.
R. W. Rolfe, Transport & General Workers' Union, Transport House, Smith Square, London, S.W.1.
Mr D. Speakman, Amalgamated Union of Building Trade Workers', The Builders, Crescent Lane, Clapham, London, S.W.4.
Mr Peter Potts, National Union of Tailors and Garment Workers, 16 Charles Square, London, N.1.
Mr K. Morrell, Flat 3, 92 Gloucester Place, London, W1H 3DA.
Mr D. Buckle, Transport & General Workers' Union, 46 Cowley Road, Oxford.
Mr L. T. Squire, Transport & General Workers' Union, Transport House, Smith Square London, S.W.1.
Mr R. C. Lockett, The Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, 2 Soho Square, London, W.1.
Mr C. W. Rowland, H.M.I., Department of Education and Science, Mayfield House, 256 Banbury Road, Oxford.
Mr Monty Meth, 57 Lakenheath, Oakwood, London, N.14.
Mr Geoffrey Goodman, Industrial Editor, Daily Mirror, 33 Holborn, London, E.C.1.
Mr Tom Connelly, Race Relations Board, St. Stephen's House, Victoria Embankment, London, S.W.1.

between the native Britons and the... whip up racial tension where none existed before. Then, by encouraging racial pride in the Coloureds and Negroes and at the same time instilling a feeling of guilt into native Britons, the Left can survive, and indeed thrive, on the resultant misery and degradation which multi-racial living involves.

The introduction of Black Studies into school curricula to give the false impression that Negro Africa had some sort of cultural history, and the recent crop of films (produced by Big Business film corporations like M.G.M. and Warner Bros.) which flatter the Black man at the expense of the Whites, e.g. 'Shaft', are examples of boosting the racial morale of coloured people.

The so-called 'revolutionary' Left are thus working hand-in-glove with the Establishment — the House of Commons Select Committee on Race Relations, the Race Relations Industry, and the big multi-national corporations. These huge international Capitalist outfits own an increasingly large proportion of British industry and in order to

keep down the cost of the wage bill and thus increase their profits they support the free movement of labour, i.e. mass coloured immigration. This means cheap labour. White workers who want a fairer rate for the job can be replaced by coloured workers who will accept lower pay and worse working conditions.

However, Negroes and other

by the crackpot politicians of the Labour, Tory and Liberal Parties, and supported by the riff-raff of the 'revolutionary' Left.

Mass coloured immigration into Britain was started after the Second World War through pressure by international Big Business in order to keep the wage level of working people low. Now the Left, which is supposed to be so anti-capitalist, instead of trying to defend the rights of British working people, co-operates with the Monopoly Capitalists in keeping wages down and so hoping to profit from the resultant discontent by way of increasing its membership and strength. How does it do this? By supporting increased coloured immigration into our already overcrowded country and accusing all people and organisations who oppose this insane policy of being "fascists" and trying to refuse them freedom of speech by any means necessary.

Is it, therefore, not obvious that the only humane way in which this deliberately created problem can be overcome is to institute a phased policy of repatriation of coloured people to the lands of their origin, with financial assistance where necessary? This, however, is a long-term solution and until this policy can be completely carried out racial strife should be eliminated as far as possible by the use of separate social and educational facilities for White people and Coloured people.

Meaning of fascism

by ERIC SCOTT

THE WORD "fascism" is widely used in three different ways on the left. Sometimes it means a movement of hooligans (often shirted) who like fighting Socialists on the streets. Sometimes it means any right-wing dictatorship which is used to crush democracy, and sometimes it is a word of abuse applied to someone rather to the right of the speaker, or even of any different views from the speaker.

— Morning Star 17.1.74
Communist admission that they employ the accusation of "fascist" against anyone who simply disagrees with them.



Mr G. Johnson, 13 King Street, Cradley Heath, Warley, Walsley, W.12.
Mr B. Jones, Martin Luther King Foundation, 4a Balham Station Road, London, S.W.12.
Mr M. Dryden, 48 Drayton Grove, West Ealing, W.13.
Mr D. I. Lipsey, Ruxley Towers, Claygate, Esher, Surrey.
Mr P. Rosenfeld, U.S.D.A.W., 'Oakley', 188 Willslow Road, Manchester 14.
Miss Rita Stephen, Clerical and Administrative Workers' Union, 22 Worpole Road, Wimbledon, London, S.W.19.
Mr J. L. Richardson, Transport Salaried Staffs' Association, Walkden House, 10 Melton Street, London, N.W.1.
R. W. Rolfe, Transport & General Workers' Union, Transport House, Smith Square, London, S.W.1.
Mr. D. Speakman, Amalgamated Union of Building Trade Workers', The Builders, Crescent Lane, Clapham, London, S.W.4.
Mr Peter Potts, National Union of Tailors and Garment Workers, 16 Charles Square, London, N.1.
Mr K. Morrell, Flat 3, 92 Gloucester Place, London, W1H 3DA.
Mr D. Buckle, Transport & General Workers' Union, 46 Cowley Road, Oxford.
Mr L. T. Squire, Transport & General Workers' Union, Transport House, Smith Square London, S.W.1.
Mr R. C. Lockett, The Association of Cinematograph, Television and Allied Technicians, 2 Soho Square, London, W.1.
Mr C. W. Rowland, H.M.I., Department of Education and Science, Mayfield House, 256 Banbury Road, Oxford.
Mr Monty Meth, 57 Lakenheath, Oakwood, London, N.14.
Mr Geoffrey Goodman, Industrial Editor, Daily Mirror, 33 Holborn, London, E.C.1.
Mr Tom Connelly, Race Relations Board, St. Stephen's House, Victoria Embankment, London, S.W.1.
Mr & Mrs W. E. J. McCarthy, 4 William Orchard Close, Old Headington, Oxford.
Mr John Golding, Post Office Engineering Union, 22 Park Gate, Ealing, W.5.
Mr John Hipkin, Schools Council, 8 Belvoir Road, Cambridge.
Mr Sydney Bidwell, M.P., House of Commons, London, S.W.1.
Mr L. Scafe, Luton Community Relations Council, 31 Dunstable Road, Luton, Beds.
Mr & Mrs D. Stephen, Runnymede Trust, 2 Arundel Street, W.C.2.
Dipak Nandy, Director, Runnymede Trust, 2 Arundel Street, W.C.2.
Mr R. M. Harrison, Hampden House, Mill Street, Kidlington, Oxford.
Miss M. Simmonds, Runnymede Trust.
Mr A. Haworth, Department of Employment, 162/168 Regent Street, London, W.1.
Mr Tony Corfield, Director, Service Centre for Social Studies, Workers' Educational Association, Temple House, 9 Upper Berkeley Street, London, W.1.
Mrs Sheila Allen, School of Social Sciences, University of Bradford.
Mr G. Stuttard, 6 Brook Rise, Chigwell, Essex.
Mr M. J. Gearty, 28 Dallow Road, Luton, Beds.
Claude L. Harvey, 6 Brintons Road, Southampton, Hants
Mr W. E. Reid, 49 Park Place, Gravesend, Kent.
Mr J. Coyne, 9 Denbigh Crescent, West Bromwich, S. Staffs.
Mrs P. Perry, Department of Education and Science, Mayfield House, Banbury Road, Oxford.
Mr Brian Cohen, Joint Unit for Minority and Policy Research, 33 Sackville Street, London, W.1.
Mr Walter Kendall, Nuffield College, Oxford.
Mr G. W. Beckett, 47 Pevensey Avenue, London, N.11.
Mr W. Denham, U.P.W. House, Crescent Lane, Clapham Common, London, S.W.4.
Mr B. Weston, 18 Worcester Street, Gloucester.
Brother, C.G. Key, Sunnycot, 262 Bath Road, Harlington, Hayes, Middx.
Mr Peter Carr, Commission for Industrial Relations, 21 Kingsway, London, W.C.2.
Mr C. Adamson, 53 Woodstock Road, Moseley, Birmingham 13.
Mr F. Doyle, Transport House, Barrack Road, Newcastle on Tyne, NE4 6DP.
Mr W. Rutter, Amalgamated Weavers' Association, 1st Floor, 74 Corporation Street, Manchester M4 2BX.
Mr J. Hinchcliffe, Amalgamated Weavers' Association, 1st Floor, 74 Corporation Street, Manchester M4 2BX.
Mr L. E. Davids, 65 Holywell Drive, Loughborough, Leics.
Mr C. Barlow, 13 Overdale Road, Benchill, Manchester 22.
Mr M. Rodda, 304 Queens Road, New Cross, London, S.E.14.
M/s Kay Beauchamp, 45 Clissold Court, London, N.4.
Mr Tony Gilbert, 20 Ardilaun Road, N.5.
Mr D. Townsend, 4 Monmouth Road, Oxford, OX1 4TD.
Mr R. Watts, 19 Addington Square, London, S.E.5.
Tom Sibley, Oriel College, Oxford.
H. Short, 138 Stoke Road, Slough Bucks.
Miss Carol Stone, BBC, c/o T.S.W., Whiteladies Road, Bristol.


DEMOCRATIC DEFENCE OR

by ERIC SCOTT

THE WORD "fascism" is widely used in three different ways on the left. Sometimes it means a movement of hooligans (often shirted) who like fighting Socialists on the streets. Sometimes it means any right-wing dictatorship which is used to crush democracy, and sometimes it is a word of abuse applied to someone rather than the right of the speaker, or even of any different views from the speaker.

— *Morning Star* 17.1.74
Communist admission that they employ the accusation of "fascist" against anyone who simply disagrees with them.

the only humane way in which this deliberately created problem can be overcome is to institute a phased policy of repatriation of coloured people to the lands of their origin, with financial assistance where necessary? This, however, is a long-term solution and until this policy can be completely carried out racial strife should be eliminated as far as possible by the use of separate social and educational facilities for White people and Coloured people.



This illustration is typical of films now being made which glory in Black minority racism.

Mr R. M. Harrison, Hampden House, Mill Street, Kidlington, Oxford.
Miss M. Simmons, Runnymede Trust.
Mr A. Haworth, Department of Employment, 162/168 Regent Street, London, W.1.
Mr Tony Corfield, Director, Service Centre for Social Studies, Workers' Educational Association, Temple House, 9 Upper Berkeley Street, London, W.1.
Mrs Sheila Allen, School of Social Sciences, University of Bradford.
Mr G. Stuttard, 6 Brook Rise, Chigwell, Essex.
Mr M. J. Gearty, 28 Dallow Road, Luton, Beds.
Claude L. Harvey, 6 Brintons Road, Southampton, Hants.
Mr W. E. Reid, 49 Park Place, Gravesend, Kent.
Mr J. Coyne, 9 Denbigh Crescent, West Bromwich, S. Staffs.
Mrs P. Perry, Department of Education and Science, Mayfield House, Banbury Road, Oxford.
Mr Brian Cohen, Joint Unit for Minority and Policy Research, 33 Sackville Street, London, W.1.
Mr Walter Kendall, Nuffield College, Oxford.
Mr G. W. Beckett, 47 Pevensey Avenue, London, N.11.
Mr W. Denham, U.P.W. House, Crescent Lane, Clapham Common, London, S.W.4.
Mr B. Weston, 18 Worcester Street, Gloucester.
Brother, C.G. Key, Sunnycot, 262 Bath Road, Harlington, Hayes, Middx.
Mr Peter Carr, Commission for Industrial Relations, 21 Kingsway, London, W.C.2.
Mr C. Adamson, 53 Woodstock Road, Moseley, Birmingham 13.
Mr F. Doyle, Transport House, Barrack Road, Newcastle on Tyne, NE4 6DP.
Mr W. Rutter, Amalgamated Weavers' Association, 1st Floor, 74 Corporation Street, Manchester M4 2BX.
Mr J. Hinchcliffe, Amalgamated Weavers' Association, 1st Floor, 74 Corporation Street, Manchester M4 2BX.
Mr L. E. Davids, 65 Holywell Drive, Loughborough, Leics.
Mr C. Barlow, 13 Overdale Road, Benchill, Manchester 22.
Mr M. Rodda, 304 Queens Road, New Cross, London, S.E.14.
M/s Kay Beauchamp, 45 Clissold Court, London, N.4.
Mr Tony Gilbert, 20 Ardilaun Road, N.5.
Mr D. Townsend, 4 Monmouth Road, Oxford, OX1 4TD.
Mr R. Watts, 19 Addington Square, London, S.E.5.
Tom Sibley, Oriel College, Oxford.
H. Short, 138 Stoke Road, Slough Bucks.
Miss Carol Stone, BBC, c/o T.S.W., Whiteladies Road, Bristol.

DEMOCRATIC DEFENCE OR DEMOCRATIC REPRESSION?

MR. PAUL ROSE, Labour M.P. for Blackley; Mr. Niel Kinnock, Labour M.P. for Bedwellty and parliamentary private secretary to Michael Foot; and Mr. Eddie Loyden, Labour M.P. for Liverpool Garston; were on the top table in the Lesser Free Hall, Manchester, at the inaugural meeting of the so-called "Democratic Defence" organisation. In reality "Democratic Defence" has been set up to suppress the National Front and hence deny free speech and democracy.

Paul Rose said: "I recognise the differences of approach, but sometimes violence is justified . . ." He continued: "Our main weapon must

be the truth (sic), but I do not shrink from the fact that there may be other methods." Though not a friend of Britain, Mr. Rose is National Chairman of the Labour Friends of Israel.

Mr. Loyden stated somewhat ironically: "we are on the brink of a takeover by fascist forces." That may well be so, but the "fascist forces" are organisations like the misnamed "Democratic Defence" — not the National Front.

We call on all real democrats to protect British liberty from Paul Rose and his plans for Red Fascist thuggery.

BUY BRITAIN FIRST

Britain First retails at 5p from your local seller. For subscription rates see back page. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	.50	plus 13p postage	(5p each)
25 copies	£1.00	plus 23p postage	(4p each)
50 copies	£1.50	plus 30p postage	(3p each)
100 copies	£3.00	plus 42p postage	(3p each)
200 copies	£5.00	plus 63p postage	(2½p each)
300 copies	£7.50	plus £1.05 postage	(2½p each)
400 copies	£8.00	plus £1.26 postage	(2p each)
500 copies	£10.00	plus £1.68 postage	(2p each)
1000 copies	£15.00	plus £3.15 postage	(1½p each)

Please make out cheques and postal orders to *Britain First*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

Please send me copies of *Britain First* for which I enclose plus for postage.

Name

Address

ON THE ECO-FRONT

WHO'S RIGHT?

An extremely interesting report published in the American Science magazine, *Nature* indicates that there may be a direct connection between the weather and road traffic. The study indicates that driving on the right in northern countries could trigger off wind turbulence, and even tornadoes. The

The scientists believe that widely-spaced traffic lanes carrying considerable traffic, can produce a wind torque, or twisting force in the atmosphere. Driving on the right in northern countries reinforces the prevalent anti-clockwise wind patterns in Nature, thereby triggering off tornadoes. In Southern Hemisphere countries the prevalent wind pattern is clockwise, so driving on the left in Australia and South

Admin

National Front members will be glad to know that in terms of ordinary leaflets produced Head Office have already exceeded over a third of last year's total output well within only two months.

The Administration Committee (which consists of Richard Lawson as Chairman, Dave McCalden, Gordon Brown and Carl Lane) have recently introduced some major new innovations that will be of great help to the party.

The most important one of these is the beginning of our own literature distribution network which has never before existed. In comparison with rising postage costs, our delivery charge rate of 15% on general literature and 10% on periodicals (because of their higher price to bulk ratio) will be great money savers for NF Branches.

New annual membership cards in a distinctive colour should certainly encourage slower members to pay their dues instead of allowing them to mount up.

Both the annual membership subscription and monthly contributions will now be payable by bankers order form. This will take a great strain off Head Office as well as ensuring that funds will keep flowing in.

Follow-ups and notification of new members now go out on a weekly basis, while almost all enquirers are sent information packs by return of post.

Despite the new high level of efficiency at Head Office some post inevitably does seem to go astray. We ask correspondents to bear with Head Office in this matter until the Party is in a position to remove incompetent foreign labour from the Post Office! In the meantime enquirers would do well not to emblazon their envelopes with provocative slogans, and to address their letters either to specific individuals or to 'the occupier'.

THE NATIONAL FRONT

A PARTY OF NATIONALISM

The National Front is a party of British Nationalism, being pledged to work for the restoration of full national sovereignty for Britain in all affairs. It is a party of British Nationalism as it stands strongly for the preservation of Britain's national identity with respect to the composition of her people, her culture and her traditional way of life.

A PARTY OF DEMOCRACY

The National Front is a party of genuine democracy which belongs to its members.

Its governing body is its National Directorate, all officers of which are liable regularly to seek re-election by the full membership of the movement. The National Directorate is presided over by a Chairman, who is the chief official of the movement. The Chairman is elected by the members of the National Directorate every year, and can make no major decisions that do not carry the support of these members in the majority.

The NF seeks to promote in Britain a genuinely democratic political system — as distinct from the bogus democracy of the present whereby the wishes of the people are ignored in the making of major decisions and whereby power lies, not with the people themselves, but with the big moneyed interests who are able to control the political parties for their own ends.

THE COMMON MARKET

The National Front works for the withdrawal of Britain from the European Common Market.

THE BRITISH COMMONWEALTH

The National Front believes that Britain's destiny lies with the British Commonwealth, and resolves that every effort should be made to repair the damage done by recent governments to Commonwealth unity.

It believes, however, that the present multi-racial conception of Commonwealth is unrealistic, and that the Commonwealth should be reformed into a partnership of White countries with its main nucleus being the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

THE ECONOMY

The National Front is neither capitalist nor socialist in the sense in which those terms are usually understood.

Broadly speaking, the NF supports private enterprise as the most dynamic and efficient form of industrial operation; but it advocates clearly defined limits to the function of private industry and commerce, determined by the national and public good.

Of all sections of the economy, that in which the NF supports the firmest public control is finance and banking. It regards the uncontrolled flow of money and credit into the economy, exercised by private financial interests, as the greatest single cause of inflation. It proposes state control of all credit, which will prohibit banks from issuing loans in excess of money on deposit, and grant to the Bank of England — under firm government supervision — sole right

Name
Address
.....

ON THE ECO-FRONT

WHO'S RIGHT?

An extremely interesting report published in the American Science magazine, *Nature* indicates that there may be a direct connection between the weather and road traffic. The study indicates that driving on the right in northern countries could trigger off wind turbulence, and even tornadoes. The scientists examined the thesis that the development of motor vehicle traffic in America had been in the same ratio as the increase in the incidence of tornadoes, and found a remarkable connection. Tornadoes are more frequent in areas where there are a lot of freeways with wide central reservations. Tornadoes are also extremely rare at weekends, when there is less heavy traffic on the roads. In Washington state there has not been one tornado during the weekend over the past 25 years.

The scientists believe that widely-spaced traffic lanes carrying considerable traffic, can produce a wind torque, or twisting force in the atmosphere. Driving on the right in northern countries reinforces the prevalent anti-clockwise wind patterns in *Nature*, thereby triggering off tornadoes. In Southern Hemisphere countries the prevalent wind pattern is clockwise, so driving on the left in Australia and South Africa would tend to promote the same type of disturbances as in the U.S.

All those who seek to convert Britain to driving on the wrong side of the road, to follow metrication and decimalisation, would do well to take note of the study, since it would seem to indicate that the British 'peculiarity' for driving on the left may be improving the weather by calming the pattern of swirling winds!

Challenge the conspiracy of silence: build

THE NATIONALIST viewpoint is boycotted by the Establishment press. There is a conspiracy of silence: news stories are suppressed; readers' letters are not printed. Once this used to be a worry, but now we have a Nationalist paper to give our own point of view.

However, while the Establishment press gets commercial advertising revenue from multi-national corporations and the financial world, we depend only on the fervent determination of our supporters. Give all you can and rush it to the Britain First Fighting Fund, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

Last month we received: £1 Mr. J. Hart; £3 Mrs. R. Wisbey; £10 Denis Horton; £4.16 Terry Reeves. U.S.A.: £1 Paul Kinaslev.

in a distinctive colour should certainly encourage slower members to pay their dues instead of allowing them to mount up.

Both the annual membership subscription and monthly contributions will now be payable by bankers order form. This will take a great strain off Head Office as well as ensuring that funds will keep flowing in.

Follow-ups and notification of new members now go out on a weekly basis, while almost all enquirers are sent information packs by return of post.

Despite the new high level of efficiency at Head Office some post inevitably does seem to go astray. We ask correspondents to bear with Head Office in this matter until the Party is in a position to remove incompetent foreign labour from the Post Office! In the meantime enquirers would do well not to emblazon their envelopes with provocative slogans, and to address their letters either to specific individuals or to 'the occupier'.

If anyone has any constructive suggestions to make as to how H.O. efficiency might be further improved the Administration Committee would be pleased to hear them.

Anti-Communist Special Issue

Issue No.23 of *Britain First* was prepared as a special anti-Communist one. As it was undated the issue will be of great use for quite some time to come.

Besides articles on what Communists fear; the real force behind Communism; Communist influences in the Labour Party, education and the mass media; there is also a refutation of the Fascist smear which is flung straight back into the fact of the Left.

As all we have to do is reprint this particular issue will be available at only 1p per copy. Please order in the same quantities as stated for current issue and allow for the appropriate postage.

Postage is 30p on 50, 36p on 100, 48p on 200, 58p on 300, 73p

control the political parties for their own ends.

THE COMMON MARKET

The National Front works for the withdrawal of Britain from the European Common Market.

THE BRITISH COMMONWEALTH

The National Front believes that Britain's destiny lies with the British Commonwealth, and resolves that every effort should be made to repair the damage done by recent governments to Commonwealth unity.

It believes, however, that the present multi-racial conception of Commonwealth is unrealistic, and that the Commonwealth should be reformed into a partnership of White countries with its main nucleus being the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

THE ECONOMY

The National Front is neither capitalist nor socialist in the sense in which those terms are usually understood.

Broadly speaking, the NF supports private enterprise as the most dynamic and efficient form of industrial operation; but it advocates clearly defined limits to the function of private industry and commerce, determined by the national and public good.

Of all sections of the economy, that in which the NF supports the firmest public control is finance and banking. It regards the uncontrolled flow of money and credit into the economy, exercised by private financial interests, as the greatest single cause of inflation. It proposes state control of all credit, which will prohibit banks from issuing loans in excess of money on deposit, and grant to the Bank of England — under firm government supervision — sole right to create new credit for the economy, which will take into account yearly increases in actual national wealth measured in goods and services.

INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

The NF supports the practice of profit-sharing in industry as the best incentive to all workers. It further supports workers' partnership schemes and advocates consultation between workers and management on profits as well as wages.

IMMIGRATION

The National Front upholds the wish of the majority of the British people for Britain to remain a White country and for this reason opposes all coloured immigration into Britain.

It further advocates the repatriation, by the most humane means possible, of those coloured immigrants already here, together with their descendants and dependants.

SOCIAL WELFARE

The National Front acknowledges it as an absolute public obligation to provide adequately for the very young, the sick and the disabled in terms of accommodation, food, clothing, warmth, health and hygiene services.

NORTHERN IRELAND

The National Front firmly supports loyalists in Northern Ireland in their determination to remain part of the United Kingdom and deplores the weakness of Conservative and Labour governments in defending those loyalists and in dealing with republican terrorism.

BRITAIN AND THE WORLD

The NF, as a British nationalist movement, respects the legitimate nationalism of other peoples, and sees mutual respect between nationally orientated states as offering a better opportunity

are more frequent in areas where there are a lot of freeways with wide central reservations. Tornadoes are also extremely rare at weekends, when there is less heavy traffic on the roads. In Washington state there has not been one tornado during the weekend over the past 25 years.

on the road, to follow metrication and decimalisation, would do well to take note of the study, since it would seem to indicate that the British 'peculiarity' for driving on the left may be improving the weather by calming the pattern of swirling winds!

Challenge the conspiracy of silence: build BRITAIN FIRST!

THE NATIONALIST viewpoint is boycotted by the Establishment press. There is a conspiracy of silence: news stories are suppressed; readers' letters are not printed. Once this used to be a worry, but now we have a Nationalist paper to give our own point of view.

However, while the Establishment press gets commercial advertising revenue from multi-national corporations and the financial world, we depend only on the fervent determination of our supporters. Give all you can and rush it to the Britain First Fighting Fund, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

Last month we received: £1 Mr. J. Hart; £3 Mrs. R. Wisbey; £10 Denis Horton; £4.16 Terry Reeves, U.S.A.; £1 Paul Kingsley; £2.50 Mr. S. A. Butt; £1 Mr. B. Phillips; £1 Mr. F. S. Mitchell; £2 Islington Branch National Front; £5.08 Mr. W. D. Harrison; £1 Neil Farnell (T.G.W.U.); £3 Martin Tasker; £30.37 other donations. These total £65.11. Our total for the last issue was £38.68.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *Britain First* regularly why not take out a subscription? Please make out cheques and postal orders to *Britain First*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

RATE (12 issues): £2

I enclose for 12 issues of *Britain First*.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

Anti-Communist Special Issue

Issue No.23 of *Britain First* was prepared as a special anti-Communist one. As it was undated the issue will be of great use for quite some time to come.

Besides articles on what Communists fear; the real force behind Communism; Communist influences in the Labour Party, education and the mass media; there is also a refutation of the Fascist smear which is flung straight back into the fact of the Left.

As all we have to do is reprint this particular issue will be available at only 1p per copy. Please order in the same quantities as stated for current issue and allow for the appropriate postage.

Postage is 30p on 50, 36p on 100, 48p on 200, 58p on 300, 73p on 400, £1.09 on 500 and £1.94 on 1,000.

ADVERTISE

Advertise in *Britain First*. 50p per line. Editor reserves the right to refuse advertisements.

NF TRADE UNIONISTS

Please send a copy of your latest union journal to:—
The Publicity Officer,
NF Industrial Division.

LOYALIST RECORDS

To the Field and Back Millar Memorial Flute Band (12th July Souvenir). £1.25 from Greenwich Village, c/o Box 1690, *Britain First*.

PREMISES FUND

Thousands of pounds needed. Cheques / postal orders to National Front Head Office.

best incentive to all workers. It further supports workers' partnership schemes and advocates consultation between workers and management on profits as well as wages.

IMMIGRATION

The National Front upholds the wish of the majority of the British people for Britain to remain a White country and for this reason opposes all coloured immigration into Britain.

It further advocates the repatriation, by the most humane means possible, of those coloured immigrants already here, together with their descendants and dependants.

SOCIAL WELFARE

The National Front acknowledges it as an absolute public obligation to provide adequately for the very young, the sick and the disabled in terms of accommodation, food, clothing, warmth, health and hygiene services.

NORTHERN IRELAND

The National Front firmly supports loyalists in Northern Ireland in their determination to remain part of the United Kingdom and deplores the weakness of Conservative and Labour governments in defending those loyalists and in dealing with republican terrorism.

BRITAIN AND THE WORLD

The NF, as a British nationalist movement, respects the legitimate nationalism of other peoples, and sees mutual respect between nationally orientated states as offering a better opportunity for world peace than the internationalist ambition of world government.

The NF regards International Communism as the number one enemy of civilisation, and it repudiates the idea of 'detente' with the Communist world while the latter seeks only the destruction of the West.

At the same time the NF recognises that International Monopoly Capitalism is as great a menace to the freedom of the nations as International Communism, and that in fact the two represent different means to the same end: a world tyranny.



National Front puts Britain **FIRST**

To: National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CR0 2QF.

I wish to become
*a Full Member of the National Front at £2 per year
*an Associate Member of the National Front at £1 per year.
*Delete as necessary

and enclose my cheque/P.O. for £ p.

or:—

I enclose 10p for further information about the National Front.

Name

Address